

Strengthening Warehouse Operations Through Integrated Safety Management Practices

Mohamed Suhaimi Yusof *

School of Technology Management and Logistics, Universiti Utara Malaysia,
06010 Kedah, Malaysia

*Corresponding Author: msuhaimi2020@gmail.com

Received	Revised	Accepted	Published
16 September 2020	20 October 2020	31 November 2020	30 December 2020

Abstract

Warehouse operations increasingly involve complex interactions among employees, material handling equipment, storage systems, digital technologies, and demanding performance requirements. These conditions have increased the importance of integrating safety management directly into operational decision making. This article examines how integrated safety management practices can strengthen warehouse operations by connecting organisational safety policies with ergonomic work design, technology implementation, employee participation, and operational performance. The analysis identifies four major challenges involving fragmented safety management, persistent ergonomic risks, technology related safety concerns, and weaknesses in safety culture and employee involvement. The discussion further demonstrates that effective warehouse safety depends on consistent management commitment, alignment between safety and productivity objectives, preventive ergonomic design, appropriate technology integration, and stronger employee participation in risk identification and workplace improvement. The article proposes that warehouse organisations institutionalise safety management within operational planning, strengthen ergonomic risk control, evaluate safety implications during automation and technology adoption, and develop a participatory safety culture supported by continuous organisational learning. These measures can help reduce workplace hazards while improving operational reliability, employee engagement, and organisational effectiveness. Overall, integrated safety management provides a strategic foundation for creating warehouse environments that are safer, more efficient, and better prepared to respond to changing operational demands.

Keywords: Warehousing, Safety culture, Ergonomics, Automation, Risk control

How to Cite:

Yusof, M. S. (2022). Strengthening warehouse operations through Integrated Safety Management Practices: bi. *The Asian Journal of Professional & Business Studies*, 1(2), 56–72.
<https://doi.org/10.61688/ajpbs.v1i2.150>

Copyright: © 2020 The Author(s)

Published by Kolej Universiti Poly-Tech MARA. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) Licence:**
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>

1. Introduction

Warehouses have developed from conventional storage facilities into highly coordinated operational environments that support inventory availability, order fulfilment, transportation, and wider supply chain performance. The increasing movement of products, employees, material handling equipment, and information within warehouse facilities has simultaneously increased the importance of managing safety as an integral component of operations. Warehouse activities commonly involve receiving, put away, storage, replenishment, order picking, packing, and shipping, and these activities often occur within shared working areas. De Koster et al. (2007) identified order picking as one of the most labour intensive and costly warehouse activities, demonstrating the substantial interaction between workers and operational processes. Gu et al. (2010) further explained that warehouse design and performance are influenced by decisions concerning layout, storage systems, equipment selection, and operational policies. As warehouse environments become more dynamic, safety considerations should therefore be incorporated into these operational decisions rather than managed independently. Grosse et al. (2015) argued that human factors have often received insufficient attention in warehouse order picking models despite workers being central to operational performance. Consequently, strengthening warehouse operations requires a management approach that integrates productivity objectives with employee safety, human capabilities, workplace conditions, and effective operational control.

Safety management is particularly important in warehouse environments because employees work in close proximity to moving equipment, stored materials, manual handling activities, loading areas, and repetitive operational processes. The combination of people, equipment, goods, and time sensitive activities creates conditions where weaknesses in safety management can affect both employees and operational continuity. Research on occupational safety demonstrates that effective safety management requires more than compliance with procedures. Fernández Muñiz et al. (2009) found that occupational safety management can positively influence safety performance as well as competitiveness and economic performance, indicating that worker protection and organisational performance are compatible objectives. Vinodkumar and Bhasi (2010) similarly demonstrated that safety management practices influence safety knowledge, safety motivation, safety compliance, and safety participation. Within storage related operations, Ahmad et al. (2018) identified relationships between dimensions of safety climate and safety performance among workers in cold storage industries. These findings provide an important basis for considering warehouse safety as an integrated management responsibility. Safety policies, management commitment, communication, training, operational procedures, employee participation, and workplace controls should therefore operate collectively rather than as separate activities. Such integration can strengthen the capacity of warehouse organisations to manage operational hazards while maintaining reliable and productive working environments.

Management commitment represents a central component of integrated safety practices because employees often interpret managerial priorities through everyday decisions concerning production targets, resources, procedures, supervision, and responses to safety concerns. Safety management becomes less effective when formal policies emphasise employee protection while operational practices encourage employees to prioritise speed or productivity over safe working procedures. Griffin and Neal (2000) demonstrated that safety climate is connected with safety knowledge, safety motivation, and safety performance, while Neal et al. (2000) found that organisational climate influences safety climate and individual safety behaviour. Clarke (2006), through a meta analytic review, subsequently confirmed relationships between safety climate and important dimensions of safety performance, particularly safety participation and compliance. Christian et al. (2009) further demonstrated that both person related and situational factors contribute to workplace safety, with safety knowledge, motivation, and safety climate playing important roles in safety performance. These findings are particularly relevant to warehouse operations where employees frequently work under productivity requirements and interact with equipment, products, and other employees within limited spaces. Integrated safety management should consequently create consistency between formal safety expectations and actual operational priorities so that employees clearly understand that safe performance remains an essential organisational requirement during normal and demanding operating conditions.

Employee behaviour provides another important dimension because safety performance depends partly on whether workers follow required procedures and actively participate in maintaining safe workplace conditions. However, unsafe behaviour should not automatically be interpreted as an individual employee problem because organisational systems can influence how workers understand and respond to safety requirements. Vinodkumar and Bhasi (2010) demonstrated that management commitment, safety training, employee involvement,

communication, safety rules, and promotion policies can influence safety knowledge and motivation, which subsequently contribute to safety behaviour. Beus et al. (2010) also established an important relationship between safety climate and workplace injuries, reinforcing the organisational significance of employees' perceptions of safety. Kines et al. (2011) developed the Nordic Occupational Safety Climate Questionnaire around dimensions including management safety priority, empowerment, justice, worker commitment, communication, learning, and risk acceptance, demonstrating the multidimensional character of safety climate. Wachter and Yorio (2014) further found that safety management practices were related to accident rates and employee engagement. Warehouse safety management should therefore encourage employees to become active contributors to safety rather than simply recipients of instructions. Participation in hazard identification, safety communication, operational improvement, and reporting can provide management with practical information while strengthening employee responsibility for maintaining safe warehouse operations.

Ergonomic considerations are also fundamental to warehouse safety because many warehouse activities remain dependent on human physical effort despite continuing technological development. Manual order picking, lifting, carrying, reaching, bending, pushing, pulling, and repetitive product handling can create physical demands that influence both employee wellbeing and operational performance. Grosse et al. (2015) emphasised that order picking research should incorporate human factors because worker characteristics can influence the effectiveness and efficiency of warehouse systems. Glock et al. (2019) subsequently demonstrated that warehouse order picking decisions can be designed to consider both ergonomic and economic performance. Their research on pallet rotation illustrates that warehouse planning decisions can influence workers' physical exposure while also affecting operational costs. This relationship is important because safety and efficiency should not be treated as opposing warehouse objectives. Poorly designed storage locations, workstations, picking procedures, and material handling activities can create unnecessary physical demands while simultaneously reducing operational effectiveness. An integrated safety management approach should therefore consider ergonomics during warehouse layout design, storage assignment, order picking planning, equipment selection, and task organisation. Incorporating human factors into operational decisions can strengthen employee protection while supporting more sustainable warehouse productivity and reducing the operational consequences associated with physically demanding work.

Changing warehouse technologies create additional opportunities and challenges for safety management. Warehousing has increasingly adopted automated storage systems, robots, digital information systems, and other technologies to improve speed, accuracy, and responsiveness. Boysen et al. (2019) observed that e-commerce warehousing has encouraged the use of automated picking workstations, robots, automated systems, and significant organisational adaptations in response to increasingly time critical orders. These developments can reduce some forms of manual work but may also change how employees interact with equipment and operational processes. Hofmann et al. (2017) explained that occupational safety research has progressively developed from basic worker protection towards a multilevel understanding of workplace safety and risk, highlighting the importance of examining technological and organisational conditions together. Safety management in modern warehouses should consequently evolve alongside operational technology. Technology implementation should consider worker interaction, task requirements, operational procedures, training, supervision, communication, and potential changes in risk exposure. Integrated safety practices provide a useful foundation because they connect technological decisions with the wider management system rather than assuming that technological improvement automatically produces safer operations. Warehouse organisations that coordinate technology, people, and safety procedures are better positioned to achieve operational improvements without creating avoidable weaknesses within the working environment.

Workload and operational pressure provide further reasons for integrating safety management with warehouse operations. Warehouses are frequently evaluated according to productivity, order accuracy, throughput, service speed, and cost, creating considerable pressure to complete operational activities efficiently. However, high job demands can affect employee engagement, fatigue, attention, and safety outcomes when appropriate resources are unavailable. Nahrgang et al. (2011) found through meta analysis that job demands and job resources are connected with burnout, engagement, and workplace safety outcomes. Christian et al. (2009) similarly demonstrated that safety performance is influenced by both individual and situational characteristics, reinforcing the need to examine the working environment surrounding employee behaviour. Wachter and Yorio (2014) found that employee engagement represents an important mechanism connecting safety management systems with accident prevention. Safety management should therefore be incorporated into decisions concerning workload, staffing, operational targets, supervision, and employee involvement. This is especially relevant to warehouse environments where operational pressure can vary according to customer demand, delivery schedules, inventory movement, and order

volumes. Strengthening warehouse operations requires management to ensure that productivity expectations remain compatible with established safety requirements. Integrating safety considerations with operational planning can support a more balanced environment where efficiency improvements do not depend on practices that unnecessarily increase employee exposure to workplace hazards.

Safety culture provides a broader organisational foundation for sustaining integrated safety management because formal systems are unlikely to remain effective when safety values are not consistently supported throughout the organisation. Zwetsloot et al. (2020) demonstrated that strong safety cultures require active maintenance and showed that safety culture can improve over time when organisations deliberately manage it. Their study included major hazard sectors involving bulk storage, chemical warehousing, and logistics, making the findings particularly relevant to storage and distribution environments. Safety climate research similarly indicates that employees' shared perceptions of management priorities influence safety related behaviour and performance (Clarke, 2006; Beus et al., 2010). Fernández Muñoz et al. (2009) further demonstrated that systematic occupational safety management can contribute positively to organisational performance rather than functioning solely as a regulatory obligation. Together, these findings suggest that warehouse safety should be embedded within leadership, communication, training, employee involvement, operational planning, risk control, and performance evaluation. Integrated safety management practices provide a strategic mechanism for connecting these elements with daily warehouse activities. Such an approach can enable organisations to strengthen accident prevention, employee participation, safety awareness, operational reliability, and organisational performance while responding effectively to increasingly complex warehouse environments.

2. Literature Review

Warehouse safety has become an important dimension of operational management because warehouse activities combine employees, material handling equipment, storage systems, inventory movement, and time sensitive operational requirements within shared working environments. Safety management should therefore be integrated with warehouse planning rather than treated as an independent compliance activity. Earlier warehouse research concentrated heavily on productivity, travel distance, storage assignment, and order fulfilment, while human factors received considerably less attention (Grosse et al., 2017). More recent studies have increasingly demonstrated that warehouse design decisions can simultaneously influence economic performance, ergonomic exposure, and employee wellbeing (Glock et al., 2019; Hara et al., 2020). Safety research further indicates that management commitment, employee participation, communication, training, and organisational climate influence safety behaviour and performance. Consequently, the literature provides a foundation for examining integrated warehouse safety through four interconnected areas involving safety management systems, human factors and ergonomics, technology and operational design, and safety culture with employee participation.

2.1 Integrated Safety Management in Warehouse Operations

Integrated safety management requires warehouse organisations to embed safety considerations within operational planning, supervision, performance measurement, and everyday decision making. Safety should not operate separately from productivity because operational decisions concerning layout, storage, routing, equipment, and work allocation may influence employee exposure to workplace hazards. De Koster et al. (2007) established that order picking represents one of the most labour intensive and expensive warehouse activities, while Gu et al. (2010) demonstrated that warehouse performance depends on coordinated decisions concerning storage systems, material handling, layout, and operational policies. These operational characteristics make systematic safety management particularly important. Fernández Muñoz et al. (2009) found that occupational safety management can contribute positively to safety performance and organisational competitiveness, suggesting that safety and operational objectives need not conflict. Vinodkumar and Bhasi (2010) further demonstrated that management commitment, safety training, employee involvement, communication, safety rules, and promotion policies influence employee safety knowledge and motivation. Integrated safety management should therefore connect formal policies with actual warehouse processes so that operational targets, supervisory practices, risk controls, and employee responsibilities communicate consistent expectations concerning safe performance.

Management commitment provides an essential foundation because employees interpret organisational safety priorities through management actions rather than written policies alone. Griffin and Neal (2000) demonstrated

that perceptions of the workplace safety environment influence safety knowledge and motivation, which subsequently contribute to safety performance. Neal et al. (2000) similarly found that broader organisational climate can influence safety climate and individual safety behaviour. Clarke (2006) reinforced these relationships through a meta analytic review demonstrating significant associations between safety climate and dimensions of safety performance. Christian et al. (2009) subsequently showed that safety performance is influenced by both individual and situational factors, particularly safety knowledge, motivation, and safety climate. These findings are relevant to warehousing because employees frequently work under operational targets involving speed, throughput, order accuracy, and delivery schedules. Safety systems may lose credibility when management communicates strong safety policies while rewarding behaviour that prioritises productivity at the expense of established procedures. Integrated safety management therefore requires consistent leadership, resource allocation, supervision, performance monitoring, and operational decision making that demonstrate safety as a continuing component of warehouse performance rather than an additional requirement imposed separately from daily operations.

2.2 Human Factors and Ergonomic Safety in Warehouse Activities

Human factors are fundamental to warehouse safety because many operational activities continue to depend substantially on manual work. Order pickers may walk considerable distances, repeatedly reach for products, lift or transfer items, push equipment, and work within different rack heights and storage configurations. Grosse et al. (2017) found that traditional order picking research had concentrated heavily on efficiency while frequently overlooking human characteristics and ergonomic considerations. Battini et al. (2017) subsequently demonstrated that different warehouse rack layouts can influence both economic and ergonomic performance, showing that operational design choices can reduce physical demands without necessarily reducing efficiency. Glock et al. (2019) reached a similar conclusion by developing an integrated pallet rotation model that considered order picking time alongside spinal load and injury risk. Their results demonstrated that selected pallet rotations could reduce both picking time and physical exposure. These studies support a broader interpretation of warehouse safety in which ergonomic considerations are incorporated into storage assignment, rack configuration, product positioning, equipment design, and order picking procedures rather than addressed only through worker training.

Recent research available by 2020 further demonstrates how ergonomic risks can be evaluated and managed within warehouse order picking. Hara et al. (2020) developed an automatic risk assessment approach combining activity segmentation with posture assessment and demonstrated that different order picking environments produce different distributions of ergonomic risk. Besombes et al. (2020) similarly examined the use of body worn sensors for ergonomic analysis during order picking, illustrating the potential of technology to provide more detailed information about physical exposure. Research on smart workwear also demonstrated that real time feedback could reduce adverse trunk and upper arm postures during simulated order picking activities. These developments indicate that warehouse safety management can increasingly move from reactive injury response towards preventive ergonomic monitoring. However, technology should complement rather than replace effective work design. Physical demands can also originate from unsuitable shelf heights, excessive product weights, repetitive handling, inadequate rest, or poorly designed movement patterns. Integrated ergonomic management should therefore combine assessment technologies with practical modifications to layout, storage, tasks, equipment, and work organisation.

2.3 Technology Integration and Safe Warehouse System Design

Technology has substantially transformed warehouse operations through automation, digital information systems, automated storage, picking technologies, and increasingly sophisticated material handling solutions. Boysen et al. (2019) observed that changing fulfilment requirements associated with electronic commerce have encouraged warehouses to introduce different automated and organisational solutions for handling increasingly complex and time critical orders. Jaghbeer et al. (2020), through a systematic review of automated order picking systems, similarly demonstrated that system design and operational performance are closely connected, indicating that technology selection should correspond with warehouse characteristics and operational requirements. Automation can reduce some forms of repetitive manual work, but technological transformation does not automatically remove safety concerns. Human workers may continue to interact with automated equipment and perform activities that cannot be completely automated. Research on human factors in warehouse systems consequently highlights the importance of considering interactions between workers, equipment, task design, and operational environments (Grosse et al., 2017). Integrated safety management should therefore accompany technological implementation

so that changes in equipment, responsibilities, movement patterns, communication, and employee skills are systematically evaluated before new systems become embedded within normal warehouse operations.

Human interaction with technology is particularly important when warehouse automation redistributes tasks between employees and machines. A 2020 study examining robot picker solutions proposed separating warehouse activities into human and robot zones while explicitly considering human workload within the assignment process. This illustrates how automation decisions can incorporate ergonomic objectives rather than focusing exclusively on productivity. Masae et al. (2020) similarly demonstrated through their systematic review that picker routing remains a major warehouse planning issue because travelling accounts for a substantial portion of manual order picking activity. Integrated planning becomes important because storage location, routing, technology, and work allocation decisions can collectively influence employee movement and operational exposure. Silva et al. (2020) further demonstrated that storage location and order picking decisions are interdependent and can generate improved warehouse solutions when considered together rather than independently. From a safety management perspective, these findings suggest that technology should be evaluated as part of the entire warehouse work system. Effective implementation requires consideration of worker capabilities, workload, training, equipment interaction, operational procedures, and changing patterns of movement throughout the facility.

2.4 Safety Culture and Employee Participation in Warehouse Performance

Safety culture provides the organisational environment within which formal safety management practices are interpreted and applied by warehouse employees. A technically comprehensive safety system may have limited effectiveness when workers believe that productivity takes priority over safe behaviour or that management responds inconsistently to safety concerns. Beus et al. (2010) established a relationship between safety climate and workplace injuries, demonstrating the importance of employees' shared perceptions of organisational safety priorities. Kines et al. (2011) further conceptualised safety climate through dimensions involving management priority, empowerment, justice, worker commitment, communication, learning, and risk acceptance. Wachter and Yorio (2014) similarly found that safety management practices were associated with accident prevention and that employee engagement played an important role in this relationship. Safety culture is therefore particularly relevant in warehouses where employees regularly make operational decisions under time pressure. Effective safety management requires employees to understand procedures, recognise potential hazards, communicate concerns, and participate actively in preventing unsafe conditions. Training should consequently extend beyond explaining rules and develop employees' understanding of why safety controls are necessary within specific warehouse activities and operational situations.

Sustaining safety culture also requires organisations to recognise that employee involvement and organisational learning can strengthen long term safety performance. Nahrgang et al. (2011) demonstrated that job demands and job resources are associated with burnout, engagement, and safety outcomes, indicating that safe behaviour is influenced by the wider conditions under which employees work. Warehouse organisations should consequently examine whether workload, staffing, supervision, resources, and performance expectations provide realistic conditions for employees to comply with safety requirements. Hofmann et al. (2017) further reviewed developments in occupational safety research and emphasised the importance of multilevel perspectives involving individuals, groups, leadership, and organisational systems. Zwetsloot et al. (2020) demonstrated that strong safety cultures require active organisational maintenance and can develop through deliberate improvement efforts, including within sectors involving logistics and storage activities. Employee participation should therefore be integrated into hazard identification, incident learning, workplace improvement, training, and safety communication. A participatory approach can strengthen management awareness of operational risks while enabling warehouse workers to contribute practical knowledge gained from direct interaction with equipment, inventory, storage systems, and daily operational processes.

3. Key Issues in Strengthening Warehouse Operations Through Integrated Safety Management Practices

Warehouse safety has become increasingly important as operational environments combine employees, material handling equipment, inventory movement, storage systems, technology, and demanding performance targets within shared working spaces. The literature demonstrates that safety performance cannot be strengthened through

rules and training alone because warehouse risks are influenced by management priorities, work design, human behaviour, ergonomics, technological systems, and organisational culture. Human factors research also indicates that warehouse operations frequently involve trade offs between productivity requirements and employee wellbeing, particularly within labour intensive activities such as order picking (Grosse et al., 2017; Glock et al., 2019). Safety climate studies further demonstrate that management commitment, communication, employee involvement, and perceptions of organisational priorities influence safety related behaviour (Christian et al., 2009; Vinodkumar & Bhasi, 2010). More recent evidence available in 2020 continues to highlight differences in safety climate perceptions across workers and working environments (Zakaria et al., 2020). Consequently, four major issues require attention within warehouse operations: fragmented safety management, persistent ergonomic risks, challenges associated with technological transformation, and weaknesses in safety culture and employee participation.

3.1 Fragmented Integration of Safety Management into Warehouse Operations

One important issue concerns the separation of safety management from routine warehouse operational planning. Warehouse managers commonly make decisions concerning productivity, order fulfilment, storage utilisation, equipment, staffing, and delivery performance, while safety may be managed through separate procedures or compliance activities. Such separation can create inconsistencies when operational requirements encourage behaviours that conflict with formal safety expectations. Fernández Muñiz et al. (2009) demonstrated that systematic occupational safety management can contribute to organisational performance, suggesting that safety should be integrated into wider management processes rather than treated as an additional obligation. Christian et al. (2009) similarly demonstrated that both individual and situational factors influence workplace safety performance, with safety climate, knowledge, and motivation playing particularly important roles. These relationships are relevant to warehouse environments because workers must frequently balance speed, accuracy, physical movement, and adherence to operating procedures. When safety considerations are not incorporated into workload planning, equipment selection, storage configuration, and supervisory decisions, employees may receive conflicting signals about organisational priorities. Integrated safety management therefore remains difficult when productivity indicators and safety performance are managed through separate systems rather than incorporated into a common framework for warehouse operational decision making.

Management inconsistency can further weaken safety integration because employees interpret organisational priorities through actual supervisory behaviour rather than formal safety statements alone. Zohar (1980) established safety climate as employees' shared perceptions of the relative importance that management places on safe conduct, providing an important foundation for understanding how organisational practices influence safety behaviour. Zohar and Luria (2005) later demonstrated that safety climate operates across organisational and group levels, with supervisors influencing how formal safety procedures are implemented when safety and productivity demands compete. This issue is highly relevant to warehouse operations where supervisors may face pressure to achieve throughput targets during periods of high demand. Vinodkumar and Bhasi (2010) also showed that management commitment, communication, training, employee involvement, and safety rules contribute to employee safety knowledge and motivation. Integrated safety practices may therefore become ineffective when senior management promotes safety while operational supervisors implicitly reward speed or tolerate unsafe shortcuts. Strengthening warehouse safety requires consistency between organisational policy, supervisory behaviour, operational targets, resource allocation, and performance measurement so that employees receive a clear and stable message regarding the importance of safe operational performance.

3.2 Persistent Ergonomic Risks within Labour Intensive Warehouse Activities

Physical ergonomic risks remain a major concern because many warehouse activities continue to depend on repetitive and physically demanding human work. Manual order picking may require frequent walking, lifting, reaching, bending, pushing, pulling, and handling products positioned at different storage heights. Grosse et al. (2017) observed that human factors had historically received comparatively limited attention within warehouse order picking research despite the central role of workers in operational performance. Subsequent studies demonstrated that operational design decisions can significantly influence employee physical exposure. Battini et al. (2017) showed that warehouse rack design could be evaluated through both economic and ergonomic criteria, while Glock et al. (2019) demonstrated that pallet rotation and item positioning could influence both picking efficiency and spinal loading. These findings challenge the assumption that ergonomic safety necessarily reduces operational productivity. Instead, poorly designed storage arrangements can simultaneously create unnecessary

worker strain and inefficient movements. The continuing issue is that ergonomic risks may still be addressed mainly through employee instruction rather than redesigning the warehouse conditions that generate physical exposure. Safety management should therefore recognise storage assignment, shelf height, product positioning, equipment, workload, and task frequency as operational safety decisions rather than treating musculoskeletal risk solely as an employee behaviour problem.

Another challenge concerns the ability of warehouse organisations to identify ergonomic exposure before workers experience occupational problems. Traditional safety assessments may rely heavily on periodic observation, incident reports, or employee complaints, which can limit opportunities for early intervention. Research available by 2020 demonstrated growing potential for more proactive approaches. Hara et al. (2020) developed an automatic ergonomic risk assessment approach for order picking environments, illustrating how physical activities and postures can be systematically evaluated. Besombes et al. (2020) also explored body worn sensing technology for analysing ergonomic exposure during warehouse order picking, while emerging research on smart workwear demonstrated the potential for real time feedback concerning adverse working postures. These developments suggest that ergonomic risks can increasingly be identified before they produce serious operational consequences. Nevertheless, technology based assessment alone cannot resolve workplace problems when storage systems, task allocation, productivity expectations, or physical layouts remain unsuitable. Integrated safety management therefore needs to connect ergonomic information with practical operational decisions. Failure to transform risk assessment findings into changes involving task design, workstation arrangement, product positioning, equipment selection, and workload distribution may leave workers exposed despite improvements in monitoring capability.

3.3 Safety Challenges Arising from Warehouse Technology Transformation

Rapid technological development creates another important issue because automation can change rather than completely remove warehouse safety risks. Automated storage systems, robotic solutions, digital picking technologies, and advanced material handling systems can reduce some manual activities while simultaneously creating new forms of human interaction with machines and operational processes. Boysen et al. (2019) demonstrated that electronic commerce has encouraged substantial changes in warehouse technologies and operational configurations as organisations respond to increasingly demanding fulfilment requirements. Jaghbeer et al. (2020) similarly reviewed automated order picking systems and demonstrated considerable diversity in technologies and system configurations. These changes require employees to develop new competencies and work alongside increasingly sophisticated operational systems. Safety management practices that were designed primarily for conventional manual warehouses may therefore become less suitable as work processes change. Technological investment should consequently be accompanied by systematic evaluation of human interaction, workflow modification, employee competence, maintenance requirements, movement patterns, and potential operational hazards. Focusing primarily on productivity benefits without adequately considering these interactions may create gaps between technological capability and safety management. Warehouse digitalisation therefore requires safety systems to evolve simultaneously with operational technologies rather than adapting only after new risks become visible.

Technology also influences workload and movement patterns, which means that automated warehouse design should consider human capabilities during system planning. Warehouse optimisation research traditionally prioritises travel time, throughput, storage utilisation, and order completion, yet these objectives can affect how employees move and perform tasks. Masae et al. (2020) demonstrated the continuing importance of routing decisions in manual order picking systems, while Silva et al. (2020) showed that storage location and picking decisions are operationally interconnected. These findings imply that technological and optimisation decisions can indirectly influence worker exposure by changing travel distances, task frequency, and working patterns. Safety problems may emerge when warehouse technologies increase the pace of work without corresponding adjustments to staffing, training, rest, or task allocation. Hofmann et al. (2017) noted that occupational safety research has increasingly moved towards multilevel approaches that recognise the interaction between individual, group, leadership, and organisational factors. Integrated safety management should therefore evaluate technology from a broader work system perspective. A warehouse system cannot be considered successfully improved merely because throughput increases if the redesigned process introduces excessive workload, difficult human machine interactions, or new opportunities for unsafe behaviour.

3.4 Weak Safety Culture and Limited Employee Participation

Safety culture remains a significant issue because formal procedures provide limited protection when employees perceive that management gives greater priority to operational output than safe working practices. Warehouses frequently operate under demanding service requirements, making employees' interpretation of managerial priorities particularly important. Zohar (1980) demonstrated that management attitudes towards safety represent a central dimension of organisational safety climate. More recently, Zakaria et al. (2020) assessed multiple dimensions of safety climate among Malaysian industrial workers, including management commitment, communication, involvement, risk appreciation, supportive environment, and physical work conditions. Their findings also demonstrated variation in safety perceptions across worker groups, indicating that a single formal safety policy does not necessarily produce uniform employee experiences. Clarke (2006) and Beus et al. (2010) similarly established meaningful relationships between safety climate and safety related outcomes. For warehouse organisations, weak safety culture can result in unsafe practices becoming normal when workers believe that achieving operational targets is valued more strongly than following safety procedures. Strengthening safety performance therefore requires management to influence everyday perceptions through consistent actions, communication, supervision, resources, and responses to reported safety concerns.

Limited employee participation can further prevent warehouse organisations from identifying operational risks that are visible to workers but less apparent to management. Employees interact directly with racks, picking equipment, storage locations, vehicles, products, loading areas, and changing workloads, giving them practical knowledge of workplace conditions. Kines et al. (2011) identified employee involvement, communication, learning, and management commitment as important components of safety climate, while Wachter and Yorio (2014) demonstrated that employee engagement can contribute to the relationship between safety management systems and accident prevention. Zwetsloot et al. (2020) further showed that strong safety cultures require active maintenance rather than developing automatically over time. Importantly, their study included major hazard sectors involving bulk storage, chemical warehousing, and logistics, making the findings especially relevant to warehouse environments. Safety culture may therefore weaken when employees are expected only to follow instructions rather than participate in hazard identification, incident learning, risk assessment, and operational improvement. Greater participation can provide management with practical information about unsafe conditions while strengthening collective responsibility for safety. Integrated safety management requires employee knowledge to become part of continuous warehouse operational improvement rather than remaining informal workplace experience.

4. Discussion on Integrated Safety Management for Strengthening Warehouse Operations

Integrated safety management has an important role in strengthening warehouse operations because operational efficiency depends on the ability of organisations to manage employees, equipment, storage systems, technology, workload, and workplace risks simultaneously. Warehouse safety should therefore be considered within operational decision making rather than treated solely as a compliance responsibility. The issues identified previously demonstrate that fragmented safety practices, persistent ergonomic exposure, changing technologies, and weaknesses in safety culture can influence the effectiveness of warehouse operations. Research has increasingly shown that safety management practices and operational performance can complement rather than contradict each other (Fernández Muñoz et al., 2009; Wachter & Yorio, 2014). Similarly, ergonomic warehouse research demonstrates that operational decisions can improve productivity while reducing physical exposure among employees (Battini et al., 2017; Glock et al., 2019). These relationships provide an important foundation for discussing how integrated safety practices can strengthen warehouse operations through stronger management coordination, improved ergonomic work design, responsible technology integration, and greater employee participation.

4.1 Integrating Safety Management with Warehouse Operational Performance

Effective warehouse safety requires management to integrate safety objectives directly with operational planning and performance expectations. Warehouses are normally evaluated according to productivity, order accuracy, throughput, inventory availability, cost, and delivery performance, but these measures should not encourage working practices that increase occupational risks. Fernández Muñoz et al. (2009) demonstrated that occupational safety management can contribute positively to organisational competitiveness and economic performance,

indicating that safety and operational objectives can be mutually supportive. Vinodkumar and Bhasi (2010) similarly found that management commitment, safety training, employee involvement, communication, safety rules, and promotion policies influence employee safety knowledge and motivation. Within warehouse environments, these practices should be connected with operational decisions involving staffing, workload, equipment utilisation, storage procedures, and performance targets. Yusof et al., (2020) further demonstrated that safety performance depends on both individual and situational factors, reinforcing the importance of creating organisational conditions that support safe behaviour. Integrated management can consequently reduce inconsistencies between formal safety expectations and daily operational priorities. When safety indicators are considered together with productivity measures, managers can evaluate warehouse performance more comprehensively and avoid operational improvements that create unnecessary risks for employees.

Management and supervisory behaviour also influence whether integrated safety practices are consistently applied during normal warehouse operations. Zohar and Luria (2005) demonstrated that safety climate operates across organisational and group levels, indicating that supervisors have an important role in translating organisational safety policies into everyday practices. Clarke (2006) similarly established significant relationships between safety climate and safety performance, while Beus et al. (2010) connected safety climate with workplace injuries. Evidence from other labour intensive operational environments provides further support. Yangok and Choosong (2018) identified management commitment, communication, employee involvement, supportive environments, and risk appreciation as relevant components when examining safety climate among production workers. These dimensions are applicable to warehouses because employees frequently operate within environments where productivity pressures and physical risks occur simultaneously. Management should therefore ensure that supervisors communicate safety expectations consistently, respond appropriately to reported hazards, and avoid encouraging unsafe shortcuts when operational demand increases. Safety should also form part of performance meetings, operational reviews, and improvement decisions. Such integration can establish stronger consistency between strategic safety policies and actual warehouse practices while strengthening operational reliability and employee confidence in management commitment to safety.

4.2 Strengthening Ergonomic Safety in Warehouse Work Design

Ergonomic work design should become a central component of warehouse safety management because manual activities continue to account for a significant proportion of warehouse work despite increasing automation. Order picking frequently requires walking, lifting, reaching, bending, carrying, and repeated interaction with products positioned at different heights. Grosse et al. (2017) demonstrated that human factors deserve greater consideration in warehouse order picking because employee characteristics and behaviour influence operational performance. Battini et al. (2017) further showed that warehouse rack configurations can be evaluated according to both economic and ergonomic performance, indicating that productivity and employee wellbeing can be addressed simultaneously. Glock et al. (2019) strengthened this perspective by demonstrating that pallet rotation decisions can reduce order picking time while also reducing spinal load and associated injury risks. These findings suggest that ergonomic safety should be integrated during warehouse design rather than introduced only after employees experience physical difficulties. Storage locations, shelf heights, product positioning, material handling equipment, picking routes, and work allocation should therefore be evaluated according to their effects on both operational efficiency and physical workload.

Workload management provides another opportunity to connect ergonomic safety with warehouse operational performance. High order volumes and demanding fulfilment schedules can produce uneven workloads across picking zones and employees, potentially increasing physical demands and operational pressure. Vanheusden et al. (2020) demonstrated the importance of operational workload balancing in manual order picking and showed that balanced pick schedules can support manpower allocation while identifying operational bottlenecks. Hara et al. (2020) further demonstrated the potential of automated ergonomic risk assessment for evaluating worker postures and physical exposure during order picking activities. Safety improvement should consequently combine workload planning with ergonomic assessment so that warehouse managers understand not only how quickly employees complete tasks but also the physical demands created by those tasks. Experiential safety training can provide additional support because the ability to recognise occupational risks may improve through practical learning approaches. Tseng and Hsu (2020) investigated experiential training and workers' ability to anticipate caught in accident risks, illustrating the importance of developing practical risk recognition capabilities. Combining ergonomic design, workload balancing, risk assessment, and appropriate training can provide a more preventive approach to warehouse safety management.

4.3 Managing Safety within Warehouse Technology Transformation

Warehouse technology should be implemented through a safety oriented work system perspective because automation changes the relationship between employees, equipment, tasks, and operational environments. Boysen et al. (2019) demonstrated that changing fulfilment requirements have encouraged warehouses to adopt increasingly sophisticated technologies and operational configurations. Jaghbeer et al. (2020) similarly showed that automated order picking systems involve different technological configurations that must be aligned with warehouse requirements. Technology can reduce certain repetitive manual activities, but it can also create new interactions between workers and automated systems. Consequently, warehouse managers should assess potential safety implications during technology selection and process design rather than after implementation. Employee responsibilities, required competencies, equipment movement, workflow changes, maintenance requirements, and human interaction with automated systems should be considered before new technologies become part of routine operations. This systems perspective is consistent with Hofmann et al. (2017), who emphasised that occupational safety has developed towards a broader understanding involving individual, group, leadership, and organisational factors. Technology should therefore be evaluated according to its contribution to safe and reliable warehouse performance rather than productivity improvements alone.

Human workload should receive particular attention when warehouses introduce robots and other automated picking solutions. Automation does not necessarily eliminate human work because employees may continue to perform activities requiring flexibility, judgement, and physical handling. Calzavara et al. (2020) proposed an ergo zoning approach that separates products into human and robot picking zones while explicitly seeking to minimise human workload. Their study demonstrates that warehouse automation can be designed around ergonomic objectives rather than focusing solely on technological efficiency. Routing decisions also remain relevant because workers may travel substantial distances during manual picking activities. Masae et al. (2020) examined optimal picker routing within conventional warehouses, illustrating how routing decisions can influence the structure and efficiency of manual picking work. These findings demonstrate that technology, routing, workload, and employee safety should be planned collectively. Integrated safety management should therefore involve employees during technology implementation, provide appropriate training, evaluate changing workload patterns, and continuously assess interactions between workers and equipment. Such practices can help warehouse organisations achieve technological improvements without transferring new or excessive risks to employees.

4.4 Building Safety Culture Through Employee Participation and Organisational Learning

A strong safety culture can improve the effectiveness of integrated warehouse safety management because employees' behaviour is influenced by how they perceive organisational priorities and management commitment. Safety procedures alone may have limited influence when employees believe that speed, throughput, or productivity receives greater organisational attention than safe working practices. Zohar (1980) established safety climate as an important organisational concept by demonstrating that employees develop shared perceptions concerning the importance management places on safety. Kines et al. (2011) subsequently identified dimensions involving management safety priority, empowerment, justice, worker commitment, communication, learning, and risk acceptance within safety climate assessment. Yoon et al. (2013) further found that occupational health and safety management systems were associated with work related accident rates in the construction industry, while also identifying differences in awareness of safety management systems among management levels. The findings demonstrate that having a formal safety management system does not automatically ensure that organisational members understand or apply it consistently. Warehouse organisations should consequently strengthen safety culture through visible management commitment, consistent supervision, open communication, effective training, and appropriate responses to safety concerns.

Employee participation should complement safety culture because warehouse employees possess practical knowledge about operational hazards encountered during routine activities. Workers interact directly with storage racks, products, picking equipment, material handling systems, loading areas, technologies, and changing workloads, enabling them to identify conditions that may not be immediately visible to management. Wachter and Yorio (2014) found that employee engagement plays an important role in the relationship between safety management practices and safety performance, suggesting that employees should be actively involved rather than

treated simply as recipients of safety instructions. Nahrgang et al. (2011) similarly demonstrated that job demands and resources influence engagement, burnout, and safety outcomes. Organisational learning should therefore provide employees with opportunities to report hazards, discuss near incidents, participate in risk assessment, and contribute suggestions for operational improvement. Safety information should then be used to redesign tasks, procedures, training, equipment arrangements, and operational controls where necessary. Employee participation can strengthen management understanding of real warehouse conditions while encouraging greater collective responsibility for safety. Through continuous learning and participation, integrated safety management can become part of everyday warehouse operations and contribute to safer, more reliable, and sustainable operational performance.

5. Strategic Recommendations for Strengthening Warehouse Operations Through Integrated Safety Management Practices

Strengthening warehouse operations requires safety management to become an integral component of operational planning rather than functioning primarily as a separate compliance activity. The preceding discussion demonstrates that warehouse safety is influenced by management commitment, ergonomic work design, technological change, employee behaviour, workload, and organisational culture. Research also indicates that safety management can support organisational performance when it is systematically incorporated into management practices (Fernández Muñoz et al., 2009; Wachter & Yorl, 2014). Warehouse organisations should therefore develop integrated approaches that connect safety objectives with productivity, operational reliability, employee wellbeing, and continuous improvement. Particular attention should be given to strengthening safety governance, improving ergonomic work design, incorporating safety considerations into technological transformation, and developing participatory safety cultures. These recommendations provide practical directions for addressing the four major issues identified previously while supporting safer and more effective warehouse operations.

5.1 Institutionalise Safety Management Within Warehouse Operational Planning

Warehouse organisations should integrate safety management directly into operational planning so that safety considerations influence decisions involving workload, staffing, equipment, storage arrangements, order fulfilment, and performance targets. Fernández Muñoz et al. (2009) demonstrated that occupational safety management can positively influence safety performance while contributing to competitiveness and organisational performance. Vinodkumar and Bhasi (2010) further identified management commitment, safety training, employee involvement, communication, safety rules, and safety promotion as important management practices influencing safety knowledge and motivation. These findings suggest that safety should be incorporated into the same management processes used to control operational performance. Warehouse managers should consequently evaluate productivity targets together with safety indicators rather than measuring operational success primarily through throughput, speed, and cost. Christian et al. (2009) also demonstrated that workplace safety performance is shaped by both individual and situational factors, highlighting the importance of organisational conditions surrounding employee behaviour. Integrating safety into operational reviews can enable managers to recognise situations where production requirements, resource limitations, or workload pressures create potential safety concerns. This approach can strengthen consistency between formal safety policies and everyday operational decisions while supporting reliable warehouse performance.

Management commitment should also be translated into consistent supervisory practices throughout warehouse operations. Zohar and Luria (2005) demonstrated that safety climate operates at organisational and group levels, suggesting that supervisors play an important role in translating organisational policies into actual workplace behaviour. Safety expectations should therefore remain consistent across departments, shifts, operational conditions, and periods of high demand. Clarke (2006) established significant relationships between safety climate and safety performance, while Beus et al. (2010) demonstrated that safety climate is associated with workplace injuries. These findings indicate that employees should receive clear evidence that management considers safety an operational priority. Supervisors should communicate safety expectations, respond appropriately to reported

concerns, monitor compliance, and ensure that productivity requirements do not encourage unnecessary shortcuts. Performance evaluation can also incorporate safety participation, hazard reporting, training completion, and corrective action alongside traditional warehouse indicators. Through such practices, safety becomes part of operational accountability rather than the responsibility of a separate safety function. Stronger alignment between management policy, supervision, resource allocation, and operational targets can create a more consistent foundation for integrated warehouse safety management.

5.2 Strengthen Ergonomic Work Design and Preventive Risk Management

Warehouse organisations should strengthen ergonomic work design by incorporating human capabilities and physical demands into decisions concerning storage systems, picking processes, product positioning, equipment, and task allocation. Grosse et al. (2017) emphasised the importance of human factors within warehouse order picking, while Battini et al. (2017) demonstrated that warehouse rack configurations can be evaluated according to both economic and ergonomic considerations. Glock et al. (2019) similarly developed an integrated model demonstrating that pallet rotation decisions can simultaneously influence picking efficiency and ergonomic exposure. These studies provide strong evidence that warehouse safety and productivity should not be managed as competing objectives. Managers should therefore examine frequently performed activities to identify unnecessary reaching, bending, lifting, repetitive movement, and travelling. Storage locations and product positioning can then be adjusted according to product characteristics, picking frequency, employee workload, and physical requirements. Ergonomic considerations should also influence equipment selection and workplace configuration. Such preventive work design can address risk at its operational source rather than depending predominantly on employees to compensate for unsuitable working conditions through individual behaviour.

Ergonomic assessment should become a continuous preventive activity because physical demands may change as products, workloads, layouts, and operational requirements evolve. Warehouse managers should periodically evaluate whether work design remains appropriate under actual operating conditions. Yusof et al. (2020) demonstrated that travelling represents a significant component of manual order picking and that routing remains an important operational planning issue. This suggests that ergonomic assessment should consider employee movement alongside lifting and posture. Workload distribution is equally important because repeatedly assigning physically demanding activities to particular employees or work zones can increase occupational exposure. Ergonomic information should therefore be connected with decisions concerning task allocation, picking routes, storage assignment, staffing, and work organisation. Management should also involve employees in identifying physically difficult activities because workers possess direct knowledge of operational conditions that may not be apparent from performance data alone. Combining systematic assessment with employee feedback and operational redesign can enable warehouse organisations to identify ergonomic concerns earlier and implement practical improvements before these conditions become persistent safety or performance problems.

5.3 Integrate Safety into Warehouse Technology and Automation Strategies

Warehouse technology should be implemented through an integrated safety perspective so that automation improves operational performance without creating avoidable difficulties for employees. Automated order picking, robotic systems, digital technologies, and advanced material handling solutions can change employee responsibilities, movement patterns, workload, and interaction with equipment. Jaghbeer et al. (2020) demonstrated through a systematic literature review that automated order picking systems involve important relationships between system design and operational performance. Consequently, safety considerations should begin during technology selection and system design rather than after implementation. Warehouse organisations should evaluate how new technologies affect work processes, employee competencies, equipment interaction, supervision, communication, and operational responsibilities. Employees who will work directly with new systems should participate in implementation planning and receive appropriate preparation for changing work requirements. Technology performance should also be evaluated after implementation because operational conditions may reveal difficulties that were not apparent during system design. Such an approach allows

organisations to treat automation as part of a wider warehouse work system involving technology, people, procedures, and organisational controls rather than as an independent productivity investment.

Human workload and ergonomic requirements should receive particular attention when warehouse organisations introduce robotic and automated picking solutions. Calzavara et al. (2020) demonstrated this principle through an ergo zoning approach that assigned products between human and robot picking zones while considering human workload. Their approach illustrates how technological design can incorporate ergonomic considerations instead of concentrating exclusively on automation efficiency. Jaghbeer et al. (2020) similarly demonstrated the importance of understanding relationships between automated system design and performance. Warehouse organisations should therefore identify activities where technology can meaningfully reduce repetitive or demanding work while retaining appropriate human involvement in activities requiring judgement and flexibility. Safety assessment should continue as technologies are modified or operational volumes change because human interaction with automated systems can evolve over time. Training, work procedures, task allocation, and supervision should also be updated alongside technological changes. Integrating these considerations can help organisations obtain operational benefits from automation while maintaining appropriate working conditions and preventing technological transformation from creating new weaknesses within warehouse safety management.

5.4 Develop Participatory Safety Culture and Continuous Organisational Learning

Warehouse organisations should develop a participatory safety culture in which employees actively contribute to safety improvement rather than functioning only as recipients of safety instructions. Employees possess practical knowledge concerning storage systems, picking activities, material handling equipment, workload, and operational difficulties because they interact directly with these conditions during everyday work. Kines et al. (2011) identified management priority, employee empowerment, communication, learning, and worker commitment among important dimensions of occupational safety climate. Wachter and Yorio (2014) also demonstrated that employee engagement plays an important role in the relationship between safety management systems and safety performance. Warehouse managers should consequently create practical mechanisms through which employees can communicate safety concerns, identify hazards, participate in workplace assessments, and suggest operational improvements. Participation should be supported by management responses so that employees can observe that legitimate safety concerns produce meaningful action. This is particularly important because failure to respond can weaken trust in safety systems and discourage future participation. Greater employee involvement can strengthen hazard identification while improving management understanding of how formal procedures function under actual warehouse conditions.

Continuous organisational learning should support employee participation because warehouse safety conditions can change with operational volumes, technologies, equipment, workforce characteristics, and customer requirements. Safety culture should therefore be monitored and strengthened over time rather than regarded as permanently established once policies and procedures have been introduced. Zwetsloot et al. (2020) found that strong process safety cultures require active maintenance and can improve through deliberate organisational effort. Their study included bulk storage as well as chemical warehousing and logistics, making its findings particularly relevant to storage environments. Warehouse organisations should consequently learn systematically from safety observations, reported concerns, operational disruptions, and employee experiences. Management can use this information to improve procedures, work design, training, communication, and operational controls. Lessons identified in one warehouse activity should also be communicated across relevant departments and shifts to prevent knowledge from remaining isolated. By combining employee participation with continuous organisational learning, safety management can develop from a predominantly reactive approach into a preventive and adaptive management process. This can strengthen safety culture while supporting more reliable, resilient, and sustainable warehouse operations.

6. Conclusion

Warehouse safety has become an essential component of effective operational management as modern warehouses increasingly combine human activities, material handling equipment, storage systems, automation, and demanding fulfilment requirements within interconnected working environments. The analysis in this article demonstrates that safety should not be managed separately from operational performance because decisions concerning layout, storage, equipment, workload, staffing, technology, and productivity can directly influence workplace safety. Integrated safety management provides an appropriate approach for connecting these operational elements with management commitment, risk control, employee protection, and organisational compliance. The relationship between safety and warehouse operations is therefore broader than regulatory compliance. Effective safety practices can contribute to more reliable operations by creating working conditions in which productivity expectations remain compatible with employee safety and appropriate operational controls.

The article also identifies several areas requiring continuing management attention. Fragmented safety practices can create inconsistencies between organisational policies and actual operational priorities, while physically demanding warehouse activities continue to expose employees to ergonomic risks. Technological transformation introduces additional concerns because automation and advanced warehouse systems can change employee responsibilities, movement patterns, workload, and interactions with equipment. At the same time, weaknesses in safety culture and employee participation may reduce the effectiveness of formal safety systems. Addressing these challenges requires warehouse organisations to integrate safety into operational planning, strengthen ergonomic work design, consider human factors during technology implementation, and encourage employees to participate actively in hazard identification and workplace improvement. These practices can strengthen the connection between organisational safety objectives and everyday warehouse activities.

Ultimately, strengthening warehouse operations through integrated safety management requires a balanced approach that considers people, processes, technology, and organisational practices as interconnected elements of warehouse performance. Safety management should become part of routine operational decisions rather than functioning only as a response to incidents or compliance requirements. Management commitment, appropriate work design, employee involvement, continuous learning, and systematic risk management can collectively support safer and more dependable warehouse environments. The article therefore establishes that effective warehouse performance should not be assessed solely through productivity, speed, cost, or order fulfilment. Sustainable operational performance also depends on the organisation's ability to protect employees while maintaining efficient and reliable warehouse activities. Through consistent integration of safety into operational management, warehouse organisations can strengthen employee wellbeing, operational reliability, organisational resilience, and long term performance.

References

- Ahmad, R., Ching, C. L., Bandar, N. F. A., Hamidi, H., Shminan, A. S., & Siong, H. C. (2018). Relationship between safety climate factors and safety performance among the workers in cold storage industries. *American Journal of Trade and Policy*, 5(3), 85–92. <https://doi.org/10.18034/ajtp.v5i3.439>
- Battini, D., Calzavara, M., Persona, A., & Sgarbossa, F. (2017). Additional effort estimation due to ergonomic conditions in order picking systems. *International Journal of Production Research*, 55(10), 2764–2774. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2016.1190879>
- Battini, D., Calzavara, M., Glock, C. H., Grosse, E. H., Persona, A., & Sgarbossa, F. (2017). Analysis of economic and ergonomic performance measures of different rack layouts in an order picking warehouse. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 111, 527–536. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2016.07.001>
- Beus, J. M., Payne, S. C., Bergman, M. E., & Arthur, W. (2010). Safety climate and injuries: An examination of theoretical and empirical relationships. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 95(4), 713–727. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019164>
- Besombes, C., Castanier, C., & Vignais, N. (2020). On body sensors for ergonomic analysis: Influence of supports for order picking. *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering*, 23(S1), S253–S254. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10255842.2020.1714262>

- Boysen, N., de Koster, R., & Weidinger, F. (2019). Warehousing in the e commerce era: A survey. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 277(2), 396–411. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2018.08.023>
- Calzavara, M., Glock, C. H., Grosse, E. H., Persona, A., & Sgarbossa, F. (2020). Robot picker solution in order picking systems: An ergo zoning approach. *IFAC PapersOnLine*, 53(2), 10597–10602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2020.12.2813>
- Christian, M. S., Bradley, J. C., Wallace, J. C., & Burke, M. J. (2009). Workplace safety: A meta analysis of the roles of person and situation factors. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(5), 1103–1127. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016172>
- Clarke, S. (2006). The relationship between safety climate and safety performance: A meta analytic review. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 11(4), 315–327. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.11.4.315>
- De Koster, R., Le Duc, T., & Roodbergen, K. J. (2007). Design and control of warehouse order picking: A literature review. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 182(2), 481–501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2006.07.009>
- Fernández Muñiz, B., Montes Peón, J. M., & Vázquez Ordás, C. J. (2007). Safety management system: Development and validation of a multidimensional scale. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 20(1), 52–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2006.10.002>
- Glock, C. H., Grosse, E. H., Abedinnia, H., & Emde, S. (2019). An integrated model to improve ergonomic and economic performance in order picking by rotating pallets. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 273(2), 516–534. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2018.08.015>
- Griffin, M. A., & Neal, A. (2000). Perceptions of safety at work: A framework for linking safety climate to safety performance, knowledge, and motivation. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 5(3), 347–358. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.5.3.347>
- Grosse, E. H., Glock, C. H., Jaber, M. Y., & Neumann, W. P. (2015). Incorporating human factors in order picking planning models: Framework and research opportunities. *International Journal of Production Research*, 53(3), 695–717. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2014.919424>
- Grosse, E. H., Glock, C. H., & Neumann, W. P. (2017). Human factors in order picking: A content analysis of the literature. *International Journal of Production Research*, 55(5), 1260–1276. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2016.1186296>
- Gu, J., Goetschalckx, M., & McGinnis, L. F. (2010). Research on warehouse design and performance evaluation: A comprehensive review. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 203(3), 539–549. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2009.07.031>
- Hara, T., Li, Y., Ota, J., & Arai, T. (2020). Automatic risk assessment integrated with activity segmentation in the order picking process to support health management. *CIRP Annals*, 69(1), 17–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2020.04.011>
- Hofmann, D. A., Burke, M. J., & Zohar, D. (2017). 100 years of occupational safety research: From basic protections and work analysis to a multilevel view of workplace safety and risk. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 102(3), 375–388. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000114>
- Jaghbeer, Y., Hanson, R., & Johansson, M. I. (2020). Automated order picking systems and the links between design and performance: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(15), 4489–4505. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1788734>
- Kines, P., Lappalainen, J., Mikkelsen, K. L., Olsen, E., Pousette, A., Tharaldsen, J., Tómasson, K., & Törner, M. (2011). Nordic Safety Climate Questionnaire (NOSACQ 50): A new tool for diagnosing occupational safety climate. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 41(6), 634–646. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2011.08.004>
- Masae, M., Glock, C. H., & Grosse, E. H. (2020). Order picker routing in warehouses: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 224, 107564. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iipe.2019.107564>

- Nahrgang, J. D., Morgeson, F. P., & Hofmann, D. A. (2011). Safety at work: A meta analytic investigation of the link between job demands, job resources, burnout, engagement, and safety outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 96(1), 71–94. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021484>
- Neal, A., Griffin, M. A., & Hart, P. M. (2000). The impact of organizational climate on safety climate and individual behavior. *Safety Science*, 34(1–3), 99–109. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-7535\(00\)00008-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-7535(00)00008-4)
- Silva, A., Coelho, L. C., Darvish, M., & Renaud, J. (2020). Integrating storage location and order picking problems in warehouse planning. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 140, 102003. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2020.102003>
- Vanheusden, S., van Gils, T., Caris, A., Ramaekers, K., & Braekers, K. (2020). Operational workload balancing in manual order picking. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 141, 106269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2020.106269>
- Vinodkumar, M. N., & Bhasi, M. (2010). Safety management practices and safety behaviour: Assessing the mediating role of safety knowledge and motivation. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 42(6), 2082–2093. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2010.06.021>
- Wachter, J. K., & Yorllo, P. L. (2014). A system of safety management practices and worker engagement for reducing and preventing accidents: An empirical and theoretical investigation. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 68, 117–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2013.07.029>
- Yangok, A., & Choosong, T. (2018). Factors related to safety climate in production line workers of food manufacturing. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 7(3.24), 107–111. <https://doi.org/10.14419/ijet.v7i3.24.17293>
- Yoon, S. J., Lin, H. K., Chen, G., Yi, S., Choi, J., & Rui, Z. (2013). Effect of occupational health and safety management system on work related accident rate and differences of occupational health and safety management system awareness between managers in South Korea's construction industry. *Safety and Health at Work*, 4(4), 201–209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shaw.2013.10.002>
- Yusof, M. S., Salleh, M. N., & Zahari, F. M. (2020). The Relationship between Information Technology Capability and New Product Development Success: A Conceptual Framework. *International Journal of Business and Technology Management*, 2(1), 98–112.
- Yusof, M. S., Salleh, M. N., & Zahari, F. M. (2020). The Relationship between NPD Process and NPD Strategy toward NPD Success in Malaysian Automotive Industry. *Asian Journal of Research in Business and Management*, 2(1), 11–26.
- Zakaria, J., Che Hassan, C. R., Hamid, M. D., & Sukadarin, E. H. (2020). Safety climate factors at selected chemical manufacturing plant in Malaysia. *Process Safety Progress*, 39(1), e12096. <https://doi.org/10.1002/prs.12096>
- Zohar, D. (1980). Safety climate in industrial organizations: Theoretical and applied implications. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 65(1), 96–102. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.65.1.96>
- Zohar, D., & Luria, G. (2005). A multilevel model of safety climate: Cross level relationships between organization and group level climates. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90(4), 616–628. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.90.4.616>
- Zwetsloot, G. I. J. M., van Middelaar, J., & van der Beek, D. (2020). Repeated assessment of process safety culture in major hazard industries in the Rotterdam region, Netherlands. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 257, 120540. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120540>