



Please cite this article as:

Fitri Amalia, Erni Achmad, & Chandra Mustafa. (2024). Determinants of Government Spending and Investment on District/ City Economic Growth in Jambi Province. *The Asian Journal of Professional & Business Studies*, 5(1), 161–174. <https://doi.org/10.61688/ajpbs.v5i1.313>

DETERMINANTS OF GOVERNMENT SPENDING AND INVESTMENT ON DISTRICT / CITY ECONOMIC GROWTH IN JAMBI PROVINCE

Fitri Amalia*¹, Erni Achmad², Chandra Mustika³

^{1,2,3}Faculty of Business & Economic, Universitas Jambi, Indonesia.

Corresponding Authro: famalia1720@gmail.com

Received: 20 May 2024 Accepted: 27 May 2024 Available Online: 29 June 2024

ABSTRACT

This research is entitled Determinants of Government Spending and Investment on the Economic Growth of Districts and Municipalities in Jambi Province. The study aims to determine and analyze the Determinants of Employee Expenditure, Capital Expenditure, Goods and Services Expenditure, PMDN, and PMA on District/City Economic Growth in Jambi Province in 2017-2022. The analysis method uses quantitative descriptive analysis with secondary data, the analysis tool uses panel data regression using cross-section data, namely the research objects of 11 districts and cities in the province, and time series data, namely 2017-2022 with a total number of observations of 66 objects, then using tests related to panel data regression, namely the selection of chow test models, Hausman tests, and Lagrange multiplier tests, and using statistical F and T hypothesis tests and coefficients of determination. The results showed that the regression estimation of panel data using the fixed effect model showed that the variables of capital expenditure, employee expenditure, and expenditure on goods and services had a significant effect on the economic growth of regencies and cities in Jambi Province. In contrast, the variables of foreign investment and domestic investment did not have a significant effect on the economic growth of Regencies and cities in Jambi Province.

Keywords: *Capital Expenditure, Employee Expenditure, Goods and Services Expenditure, Foreign Investment, Domestic Investment and Economic Growth*

Copyright: © 2024 The Author(s)

Published by Kolej Universiti Poly-Tech MARA Kuala Lumpur.

This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribute (CC BY 4.0) license. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this license may be seen at: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The progress or development of the region can be measured through the economic growth of the area. Economic growth is closely related to the increase in economic activity of the community in line with the increase in the production of goods and services. In local governments, the economic growth indicator used is the increase in Gross Regional Domestic Product (GDP). If GDP increases, it shows that the region is experiencing positive economic growth. GRDP refers to the gross added value of various goods and services produced through various economic activities in a certain period (Pangiuk, 2017). Economic growth is also the main benchmark and remains the government's main concern in analyzing the implementation of national development (Kusumawati and Wiksuana, 2018). The measurement of economic growth involves three main significant factors, namely *capital accumulation*, *population growth*, and *technological advances* (Todaro in Rofii & Ardyan, 2017). Achievements in the development and development of a country are often reflected in these parameters (Dewi, 2015).

Economic growth plays a central role in the implementation of development, because of the close relationship between economic growth and economic progress (Dinarjito & Dharmazi, 2020). The increase in production and national income of a country is an indication of ongoing economic growth. Achievements in the economic development of a region are the main measure to assess the level of economic development in Indonesia. According to Winarto, et al (2022), regional economic development is encouraged to achieve the realization of community welfare by creating jobs and strengthening economic sectors that can be managed by both local governments and the private sector. Evaluation of the economic welfare of a region can be done by analyzing regional GDP, which reflects regional economic development from the aspects of growth and decline (Sicily & Harsono, 2021). According to Todaro (2006), although economic progress is an important indicator, it is not the only benchmark for the success of a region's development. Conversely, sustainable economic growth in the long run can have a positive impact on the implementation of economic development, as explained by Nirmala et al. (2022). For this reason, efforts to encourage economic growth are one of the approaches that can be applied to achieve regional development. Economic growth in districts/cities in Jambi Province varies from one region to another.

The direction of economic growth that has decreased more shows that regional economic conditions are not yet conducive so more intervention is needed from the government to remain controlled and later can still realize welfare for the community. In governance, local governments prepare budgets which will then be used as guidelines in carrying out various activities, but in realization, there are challenges faced by local governments in the context of public sector organizations related to budget allocation. Budget allocation refers to the distribution of funds for various programs. With limited resources, local governments must be able to allocate the revenue received so that it can be used productively for regional interests. Regional expenditure is a projection of estimated costs that must be distributed fairly and equitably so that they can be enjoyed by all levels of society without discrimination, especially in the provision of public services (Halim, 2007). In the context of the regional economy, the Regional Budget (APBD) prepared by the regional government plays a strategic role. APBD consists of three main components, namely revenue, expenditure, and financing. Local governments must prepare budgets with a systematic and careful method, to optimize the contribution of the regional budget to local economic growth. An effective APBD can function as an instrument that encourages economic growth and improves the welfare of people in a region. Therefore, proper budget planning and management is an important step in achieving the goal of inclusive regional economic development. Local government expenditure is measured from the total routine expenditure and development expenditure allocated in the regional budget. The greater the productive local government expenditure, the greater the economic level of a region (Wibisono, 2012). Government expenditures consist of expenditures and financing. This expenditure is used to finance government activities in running the government as a form of decentralization obligation. These expenditures may increase along with the increase in economic activity of a region, but the increase in expenditure does not necessarily have a positive impact on economic activity.

In regional economic analysis, capital expenditures incurred by local governments have special significance. The primary purpose of capital expenditure is to finance expenditure on factors of production, including infrastructure, facilities, and other productive assets. In theory, the right allocation of funds for capital expenditure must be able to encourage growth and expansion of economic activity in a region. This is because capital expenditure can serve as a stimulant in increasing production capacity and operational efficiency, which in turn will increase economic output and regional revenues. Thus, strategic and targeted capital expenditure should be able to make a significant contribution to the increase in the regional economy. Employee expenditure refers to all expenses used by the state to pay salaries, benefits, or facilities to employees and state officials. This expenditure includes the provision of rewards for work already done, except for tasks related to investment or capital formation. Although income continues to increase every year, expenditure for employees has

increased significantly every year, without being accompanied by a comparable increase in capital expenditure (Sugiyanta, 2016). In macroeconomic theory, one of Keynes's proposals is that consumption is the dominant component of total expenditure in an economy (Keynes, 1936). In this context, employee spending, which is essentially government spending on employee salaries and benefits, can serve as one of the sources of income for a large portion of the area's population.

With this increase in income, household consumption is expected to increase. According to the Absolute Income Hypothesis proposed by Keynes, individual consumption is determined by their current income. Therefore, with the increase in income from employee spending, it is expected that there will be an increase in consumption in the community. This increase in consumption, in turn, can stimulate regional economic growth. This is because consumption is one of the four main components of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) – the others being investment, government spending, and net exports. An increase in any of these components will increase GDP, which is an indicator of the economic performance of a country or region (Samuelson & Nordhaus, 2001). Goods and Services Expenditure, as one of the important components in the budget, plays an essential role in the operations and functionality of ministries, institutions, and regional apparatus. This is a type of shopping intended for the procurement of goods or services, where the procedure starts from identifying needs and selecting providers to hand over work results. In the context of regional economic growth, efficiency, and effectiveness in managing Goods and Services Expenditure can have a significant impact. Appropriate investments in goods and services can facilitate economic activity through capacity building of infrastructure, public services, and other operational support.

Ineffective and efficient government spending can be a serious problem. Sometimes, government spending in Jambi Province tends to focus on certain sectors, while other sectors that have economic growth potential do not get enough attention. Lack of diversification of government spending can lead to inequality in regional economic development and dependence on limited sectors. Economic growth in a region is the result of the interaction of various factors. One of the main components affecting economic growth is government spending, which includes capital expenditure, employees, and goods and services. Government spending can boost the economy by increasing aggregate demand, in line with Keynesian theory which posits that government spending can help overcome recessions and increase growth (Chang & Lee, 2017). But, in addition to government spending, other factors also play an important role in determining the direction of economic growth.

Investment is one of the important elements in encouraging economic growth. However, it is important to remember that the size of investment is not the only factor affecting the speed of economic growth. What is more important is how those investments are managed and used. Previous studies that have examined the impact of investment on economic growth, such as research by Chidoko (2015), Rabnawas (2015), Williams, et al (2017), and Prasetyawan, et al (2017), show that investment has a positive and significant influence on economic growth. Investment is an action carried out by individuals or legal entities to maintain or maintain the value of the capital they have (Pangliuk, 2017). Nasution (2020) Investment can be defined as expenditure or expenditure made by individuals, companies, or governments to acquire capital assets or equipment to increase the production capacity of goods or services in the economic sector. The purpose of investment is to develop or expand existing production capabilities, to make a positive contribution to economic growth. Investment can be grouped into two types, namely government investment, which is carried out by local or central governments, and private investment, which consists of Domestic Investment (PMDN) by the national private sector and Foreign Investment (PMA) by foreign private companies. This investment aims to increase domestic capital stock, accelerate economic growth, create jobs, improve community welfare, and increase income in the region (Sukirno, 2013). If investment flows into a country sustainably over a long period and is supported by a competitive economy, then this will contribute to increased investment through increased per capita income. The low level of investment is also an obstacle to economic growth in Jambi Province. There are still obstacles to attracting investment from both the public and private sectors. Regulatory uncertainty, inadequate infrastructure, and lack of attractiveness for investors hampered capital inflows into the province.

The existence of investment, both domestic and foreign, is expected to provide a positive boost to the regional economy. Investment invested in the region is expected to encourage economic growth in the area, thus helping the local government in improving the welfare of the community in the investment location. Investment, both foreign and domestic, is one of the influential factors in the calculation of national income, which is the main indicator of economic growth. Understanding the relationship between government spending, investment, and regional economic growth is an important task in economics and public policy. First, government spending, whether in the form of capital expenditure, employees, or goods and services, is a direct reflection of a region's policy priorities and is one of the main tools of local government in influencing the local economy. The efficiency and effectiveness of allocating these resources can have a significant impact

on the welfare of local communities. Second, investment, both made by the government and the private sector, represents a long-term commitment to regional economic development. Investment in infrastructure, technology, and human capital can increase regional productivity, attract further investment, and accelerate economic growth.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

Economic Growth

According to Kuznets (2000), economic growth refers to increasing the long-term ability of a country to provide various economic goods to its population. One of the many indicators that can be a benchmark for the success of a region's development can be seen in how the economic condition of the region (Todaro, 2006). There are three measures to determine economic growth, namely *increasing output*, increasing *output per worker*, and increasing the amount of *per capita output* (Boediono, 2012).

Regional Finance Concept

Finance is a very important aspect of organizing government. Activities to be carried out by the government will not be able to run without financial support. In other words, finance is the main thing that needs to be considered in every budget plan. For this reason, an autonomous region must increase sources of income to improve development and services to the community. Local government work plans that are realized in the form of money in certain units of time are a form of regional finance or budget. The main policy tool used by local governments is the regional budget which is outlined in the form of a Regional Revenue and Expenditure Budget (Mardiasmo, 2002).

Theory of Government Spending

Government spending reflects the implementation of government policies. When the government has established a policy to purchase goods and services, government expenditure reflects the costs that must be incurred by the government to implement the policy (Mangkoesebroto, 1994). The basis of the theory of government spending is derived from the identity of the balance of national income, where $Y = C + I + G + (X - M)$, which is the source of legitimacy of the Keynesian view of the relationship of government interference in the economy. From the equation above, it can be analyzed that an increase or decrease in government spending will increase or decrease national income. Many considerations underlie government decision-making in regulating its spending.

Employee Shopping

Employee spending is expenditure related to compensation, both in money and goods, given to state officials and employees working with the government. This compensation is given in return for work that has been carried out, especially if the work is related to capital formation or various activities carried out by the government in the performance of its functions. In the context of the government budget, employee spending includes salaries, benefits, and other facilities and benefits provided to employees within the scope.

Shopping for Goods and Services

Shopping for goods and services, commonly known as auctions, is an activity that is widely carried out by government agencies and the private sector. This activity aims to obtain goods and services and involves a process starting from planning needs to completing all activities related to the acquisition of these goods and services. In the Big Dictionary of Indonesian, shopping for goods and services is defined as an offer to propose a price and make a purchase for the provision of goods/services.

Capital Expenditure

In the context of accounting and finance, capital expenditure is defined as a budget allocation intended for the acquisition of fixed assets and other assets that have a utilization duration exceeding one cycle of the accounting period. According to Mursyidi (2018), capital expenditure is a budget expenditure used to acquire fixed assets and other assets that provide benefits for more than one accounting period. In addition, this expenditure also includes the use of services to implement local government programs. Thus, capital expenditure includes expenditure to acquire assets that will provide benefits for more than one accounting period and the use of services that support the implementation of local government

programs.

Investment Theory

Investment is the expenditure or expenditure of investors or companies to buy capital goods and production equipment to increase the ability to produce goods and services available in the economy (Sukirno, 2011). In macroeconomics, investment means a flow of expenditure that adds to the stock of physical capital. In other words, investment is the amount spent by the business sector to increase capital stock in a certain period (Budiono, 2012).

Domestic Investment

According to Widjaya (2005), Domestic Investment is part of the wealth of Indonesian society, including rights and objects, which can be owned by foreign private parties domiciled in Indonesia. Domestic investment is used to run a business, and the capital is not regulated in the provisions of Article 2 of Law No. 1 of 1967 concerning Foreign Investment, which regulates the definition of foreign capital. Private parties that have this domestic capital can be individuals or legal entities established under applicable law in Indonesia. Domestic investment involves the use of wealth, either directly or indirectly, to conduct business by the provisions of the Investment Law.

Foreign Direct Investment

According to Widjaya (2005), Foreign Investment refers to foreign investment made under the provisions of Law No. 1 of 1967 and operating in Indonesian territory. In this context, foreign capital owners directly bear the risk of such investments. Foreign capital is a foreign means of payment that is not part of Indonesia's foreign exchange wealth, but with government approval is used to fund companies in Indonesia. Thus, foreign capital investment plays a role in accelerating economic development and creating useful economic opportunities.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

The study used panel data regression analysis, panel data is a combination of time series data *and* cross-section data. Time sequence data usually includes one object/individual but covers several periods. Cross data consists of several or many objects in a given period. This model shows the relationship between variables. Independent *variables* are capital expenditure, employee expenditure, expenditure on goods and services, foreign investment, and domestic investment with a *dependent variable*, namely Economic Growth. The above functions are written in econometric models with the following equation:

$$EG = \beta_0 + \beta_1 CE_{it} + \beta_2 EE_{it} + \beta_3 SGS_{it} + \beta_4 FDI_{it} + \beta_5 DI_{it} + \mu$$

Where:

β_0	= Constanta/Intercept
$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$	= Coefficient Regresstion
PE	= Economic Growth (Y)
CE	= Capital Expenditure (X1)
EE	= Employee Expenditure (X2)
SGS	= Shopping for Goods & Services (X3)
FDI	= Foreign Direct Investment (X4)
DI	= Domestic Investment (X5)
i	= Cross Section (11 Regencies / Cities in Jambi Province)
t	= Time Series (Year 2017-2022)
μ	= error term

Regression analysis with panel data can be done with three estimation methods, namely *Common Effect*, *Fixed Effect*, and *Random Effect* estimation. Method selection is adjusted to the available data and reliability between variables. Before conducting a regression analysis, the step taken is to test the model estimate to obtain the most appropriate model estimate to use. After the model is selected, the next step is to test the research hypothesis consisting of a statistical f test statistical t-test, and coefficient of determination.

4.0 FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the results of the model selection, the Fixed *Effects Model* was selected. So, the estimated results are obtained as follows:

Table 1 Estimate Date Panel *Fixed Effects Model*

Variable	Coefficient	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	7.250394	6.181194	0.0000
LOGCE?	-0.044275	-2.197241	0.0327
LOGSEE?	0.397046	4.102003	0.0002
LOGSGS?	0.145889	3.451673	0.0011
LOGFDI?	-0.001918	-0.984996	0.3294
LOGDI?	0.004546	1.633158	0.1087
Fixed Effects (Cross)			
_BTH--C	-0.018099		
_BNG--C	-0.017490		
_KRC--C	-0.273798		
_MRN--C	-0.120411		
_MJ--C	0.108761		
_SRL--C	-0.029212		
_TJB--C	0.399565		
_TJT--C	0.183899	R-squared	0.992340
_TB--C	-0.034824	Adjusted R-squared	0.990042
_KJ--C	0.115784	F-statistic	431.8298
_KSP--C	-0.314174	Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000

Source: Processed Data, 2024

Based on Table 1 of panel data regression results with the selected Fixed *Effects Model* model the regression equation is obtained as follows:

$$EG = 7.250394 - 0.044275 \text{ LogCE} + 0.397046 \text{ LogEE} + 0.145889 \text{ LogSGS} - 0.001918 \text{ LogFDI} + 0.004546 \text{ LogDI}$$

Based on the results of the *Fixed Effects Model* estimation, if there is a change between the variables of employee expenditure, capital expenditure, goods and services expenditure, foreign investment, and domestic investment, both between districts and cities and between times, then the constant value is 7.250394 percent, meaning that if employee expenditure, capital expenditure, goods and services expenditure, foreign investment, and domestic investment Still, economic growth increased by 7.25 percent. The following is an explanation of the estimated results of the regression coefficient equation, namely: The value of the capital expenditure regression coefficient is -0.044275, this means that if capital expenditure increases by one percent, economic growth decreases by 0.044275 percent. The value of the regression coefficient of employee spending is 0.397046, this means that if employee spending increases by one percent, economic growth increases by 0.397046 percent. The value of the regression coefficient of goods and services spending is 0.145889, this means that if goods and services spending increases by one percent, economic growth increases by 0.145889 percent. The value of the regression coefficient of foreign investment is -0.001918, this means that if foreign investment increases by one percent, economic growth decreases by 0.001918 percent. The value of the regression coefficient of domestic investment is 0.004546, this means that if domestic investment increases by one percent, economic growth increases by 0.004546 percent.

Hypothesis Testing Results

The hypothesis test aims to see the influence of each independent variable on the dependent variable which is tested as follows:

Statistical F test

Testing the effect of independent variables together on the dependent variable is carried out with the F-Statistical Test. To determine the f value of the table, which is $66-5-1=60$, the f value of the table with a significance level of 95 percent or alpha of 0.05 percent is obtained at 2.37. If the value of Prob (F-statistic) is smaller than that of α , then it can be concluded that all independent variables together affect the dependent variable significantly. In the estimation results, it is known that the prob value of $0.00000 < 0.05$ or F Statistics $431.8298 > F$ Table 2.37, then H_0 is rejected and H_1 is accepted, meaning that the variables of employee expenditure, capital expenditure, goods and services expenditure, foreign investment, and domestic investment together have a significant effect on the economic growth of districts and cities in Jambi Province.

Statistical t-Test

The t-test is used to test the individual influence of the independent variables on the dependent variable. To determine the table t value, which is $66-5=61$, the table t value with a significance level of 95 percent or alpha 0.05 percent is obtained at 1.99962. If the value of Prob (t-statistic) is smaller than α , it can be concluded that the independent variable has a significant effect on the dependent variable. Conversely, if the value of Prob (t-statistic) is greater than α , it can be concluded that the independent variable has no significant effect on the dependent variable. Based on the results of regression estimation, panel data obtained the following results:

Table 2 Partial Statistical t Test on *Fixed Effects Model*

Variabel	t statistik	Prob	t tabel	Alfa	Keterangan
LOG CE?	-2,197241	0,0327	1,99962	0,05	Significant
LOG EE?	4,102003	0,0002	1,99962	0,05	Significant
LOG SGS?	3,451673	0,0011	1,99962	0,05	Significant
LOG FDI?	-0,984996	0,3294	1,99962	0,05	Not Significant
LOG DI?	1,633158	0,1087	1,99962	0,05	Not Significant

Source: Processed Data, 2024

Based on Table 2 above, it can be explained that the capital expenditure variable is known to be the statistical t value $<$ from the table t $(-2.197241 > 1.99962)$ or the prob value $>$ alpha $(0.0327 < 0.05)$ meaning that H_0 is rejected and H_1 is accepted, meaning that capital expenditure has a significant effect on the economic growth of districts and cities in Jambi Province in 2017 - 2022. The variable of employee expenditure is known as the statistical t value of $<$ from the table t $(4.102003 > 1.99962)$ or the prob value of $>$ alpha $(0.0002 < 0.05)$ meaning that H_0 is rejected and H_1 is accepted means that employee spending has a significant effect on the economic growth of districts and cities in Jambi Province in 2017 - 2022. The variable of spending on goods and services is known as the statistical t value $<$ from the table t $(3.451673 > 1.99962)$ or the prob value of $>$ alpha $(0.0011 < 0.05)$ meaning that H_0 is rejected and H_1 has accepted means that spending on goods and services has a significant effect on the economic growth of districts and cities in Jambi Province in 2017 - 2022. The variable of foreign investment is known to be the statistical t value of $<$ from the table t $(-0.984996 < 1.99962)$ or the value of prob $>$ alpha $(0.3294 > 0.05)$ meaning that H_0 is accepted and H_1 has rejected means that foreign investment does not have a significant effect on the economic growth of districts and cities in Jambi Province in 2017 - 2022. The variable of domestic investment is known to be the statistical t value of $<$ from the table t $(1.633158 < 1.99962)$ or the value of prob $>$ alpha $(0.1087 > 0.05)$ meaning that H_0 is accepted and H_1 is rejected means that domestic investment does not have a significant effect on the economic growth of regencies and cities in Jambi Province in 2017 - 2022.

Coefficient of Determination

From the test results, the coefficient of determination is seen from the R Square value of 0.992340, meaning that 99.23 percent of the economic growth of districts and cities in Jambi Province in 2017 – 2022 is explained by the variables of employee expenditure, capital expenditure, goods and services expenditure, foreign investment, and domestic investment, while the remaining 0.77 percent explained other variables that were not included in this study.

The Effect of Capital Expenditure on Economic Growth

Based on the results of panel data regression estimation with the selected model is a fixed effect model, the effect of capital expenditure on the economic growth of regencies and cities in Jambi Province has a negative and significant influence. This is shown by the statistical t-hypothesis test at an alpha significance level of 5 percent where which means that the increase in capital expenditure tends to reduce economic growth, but the influence caused by increased capital expenditure has a negative impact on economic growth means that it can reduce the economic growth of districts and cities

in Jambi Province. The theory states that increased government spending can increase economic growth which also improves people's welfare. One of the government expenditures is expenditure in the form of government investment in financing public goods including capital expenditure. More capital expenditure will affect the increase in productivity in carrying out economic activities that can increase income and welfare. Although the results of the above research are considered contrary to theory, in line with research conducted by Gunantara (2019) states that capital expenditure has a negative and significant effect on economic growth, this is due to the allocation of regional revenues for capital expenditure is not utilized properly so that the projects undertaken are redundant.

The Effect of Employee Spending on Economic Growth

Based on the results of panel data regression estimation with the selected model is a fixed effect model, the influence of employee spending on the economic growth of regencies and cities in Jambi Province has a positive and significant influence. This is shown by the statistical t-hypothesis test at the alpha significance level of 5 percent where which means that increased employee spending tends to increase economic growth, but the influence caused by increased capital expenditure has a positive impact on economic growth means that it can increase the economic growth of districts and cities in Jambi Province. The theory states that there is a relationship between employee spending and economic growth. The increase in employee spending, especially in salaries and benefits, for example, has an impact on public consumption. According to (Sasana, 2012) conceptually indirect spending does not or less touch the needs of the public in running their business. However, the allocation of the use of indirect expenditures such as employee spending that is carried out appropriately, will support the performance of each work unit in public services, and better public services will create a good and conducive investment climate to increase economic activity. Then it will eventually improve people's welfare. The results of the above research are considered in line with theory, and line with research conducted by Matthew & Udom (2015) states that employee spending has a positive and significant effect on economic growth, this is due to the allocation of regional income for employee spending is utilized properly so that public service performance is created properly.

The Effect of Goods and Services Spending on Economic Growth

Based on the results of panel data regression estimation with the selected model is a fixed effect model, the influence of spending on goods and services on the economic growth of regencies and cities in Jambi Province has a positive and significant impact. This is shown by the statistical t-hypothesis test at an alpha significance level of 5 percent where which means that increased spending on goods and services tends to increase economic growth, but the influence caused by increased spending on goods and services has a positive impact on economic growth means that it can increase the economic growth of districts and cities in Jambi Province. The theory states that there is a relationship between spending on goods and services and economic growth. Increased spending on goods and services, especially in improving public facilities, has an impact on public consumption. According to (Sasana, 2012) consumption is one of the components forming the total value of goods and services produced in an economy. The increase in economic growth of a region cannot be separated from the support of public consumption spending. The results of the above research are considered in line with theory, and line with research conducted by Hutabarat (2013) states that spending on goods and services has a positive and significant effect on economic growth, this is due to the allocation of regional revenues for spending on goods and services is utilized properly so that government spending on goods and services will cause demand for goods and services that will be responded by producers to produce Goods and services by consumer needs that will result in economic growth in the area.

The Effect of Foreign Investment on Economic Growth

Based on the results of panel data regression estimation with the selected model is a fixed effect model, the influence of foreign investment on the economic growth of regencies and cities in Jambi Province has a negative and insignificant influence. This is shown by the statistical t-hypothesis test at an alpha significance level of 5 percent where which means that increasing foreign investment tends to reduce economic growth, and the influence caused by increasing foreign investment has a negative impact on economic growth means that it can reduce the economic growth of districts and cities in Jambi Province. In theory, the correlation between investment and economic growth is explained in the Harrod-Domar model of economic growth, a development of Keynes's theory, which focuses on the role of savings and investment in determining economic growth. The more savings and investments, the faster the economy will grow. The influx of foreign investment from other countries provides opportunities for developing countries to support development (Todaro, 2006). Although the results of the above research are considered not in line with theory, but in line with research conducted by Kalangi and Tolosang (2021) states that investment does not have a significant effect on economic growth, research

according to Prasasti (2022) states that investment has a negative and insignificant effect on economic growth. This means that if the value of foreign investment increases, economic growth will also decline due to the impact of the weakening of the rupiah exchange rate against the dollar.

The Effect of Domestic Investment on Economic Growth

Based on the results of panel data regression estimation with the selected model is a fixed effect model, the influence of domestic investment on the economic growth of regencies and cities in Jambi Province has a positive and insignificant influence. This is shown by the statistical t-hypothesis test at an alpha significance level of 5 percent where which means that increasing domestic investment tends to increase economic growth, and the influence caused by increasing domestic investment has a positive impact on economic growth means being able to increase the economic growth of districts and cities in Jambi Province. In Harrod-Domar's theory, growing an economy requires capital formation as an additional capital stock. Capital formation is seen as an expenditure that will increase the ability of an economy to produce goods as well as an expenditure that will increase the effective demand of the whole society. However, to grow the economy, new investments are needed as a capital booster stock (Mankiw, 2011). Although the results of the above research are considered not in line with theory, in line with research conducted by Kalangi and Tolosang (2021) states that investment does not have a significant effect on economic growth, research according to Pangestu (2018) states that investment has a positive and insignificant effect on economic growth. This means that if the value of state investment increases, economic growth will also increase because investment is the wheel of the economy for each region.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The results of panel data regression estimation using *the fixed effect model* show that the variables of capital expenditure, employee expenditure, and expenditure on goods and services have a significant effect on the economic growth of regencies and cities in Jambi Province. In contrast, the variables of foreign investment and domestic investment do not have a significant effect on the economic growth of regencies and cities in Jambi Province.

6.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Universitas Jambi for providing the resources and support necessary to complete this study. We would also like to thank all participants who contributed their time and insights to this research. Special appreciation is extended to colleagues and peers who offered valuable feedback during the development of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Adesina, B. A. (2020). *Impact of government expenditure on Nigeria's economic growth*.
- Agus Tri Basuki, & Prawoto, N. (2017). *Regression analysis in economic & business research: Equipped with SPSS & EVIEWS applications*. Depok: PT Rajagrafindo Persada.
- Aladejare, S. A. (2013). *Government spending and economic growth: Evidence from Nigeria*.
- Al-Shatti, A. S. (2014). The impact of public expenditures on economic growth in Jordan. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 6(10), 157–167.
- Anoraga, P. (1995). *Multi-national companies and foreign investment*. Jakarta: Pustaka Jaya.
- Archive, 70377, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2796368>
- Arifin, P. S. A. (2009). *Public finance in legal perspective: Theory, criticism, and practice*. Jakarta: PT Rajagrafindo Persada.
- Arsyad, L. (2011). *Development economics* (4th ed.). Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.
- Basri, F. (2002). *Indonesian economy: Challenges and hopes for Indonesia's revival*. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Basuki. (2008). *Regional financial management*. Yogyakarta: Discourse Creation.
- Bhinadi, A. (2003). Disparity in Java's economic growth compared with outside Java. *Economic Journal of Emerging Markets*, 8(1).
- Boediono. (1999). *Economic growth theory*. Yogyakarta: BPFE.
- Boediono, D. (2012). *Theory of economic growth* (1st ed.). Yogyakarta: BPFE.
- Bose, N., Hague, M. E., & Osborn, D. R. (2007). Public expenditure and economic growth: A disaggregated analysis for developing countries. *The Manchester School*, 75(...), 533–556. (Pages 75–85 or 533–556—please confirm.)
- Case, E. K., & Fair, C. (2004). *Principles of macroeconomics* (5th ed.). Boston, MA: McGraw-Hill.
- Chidoko, C., & Sachirarwe, I. (2015). An analysis of the impact of investment on economic growth in Zimbabwe. *Review of Knowledge Economy*, 2(2), 93–98.
- Dinarjito, A., & Dharmazi, A. (2020). The effect of fiscal decentralization, investment, and human development index on regional economic growth in East Kalimantan Province. *Journal of State Tax and Finance (PKN)*, 1(2), 57–72.
- Dornbusch, R., Goldfajn, I., Valdés, R. O., Edwards, S., & Bruno, M. (1995). Currency crises and collapses. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, (2), 219–293.
- Dumairy. (1997). *Indonesian economy*. Jakarta: Erlangga Publishers.

Fadilla, S. I., Zumaeroh, Z., Kencana, H., Retnowati, D., Purnomo, S. D., Winarto, H., & Adhitya, B. (2022, July). Fiscal decentralization and investment towards economic growth in eastern Indonesia. In *Midyear International Conference* (Vol. 1, No. 01).

Fajerin, M. (2018). Governance of capital expenditure and employee expenditure to increase regional economic growth and human development index in Hulu Sungai Tengah District in 2010–2016. *Scientific Journal of Business Economics*, 4(3).

Fitri, N., & Putri, S. (2019). The effect of capital expenditure and employee expenditure on regional economic growth in western Indonesia. *Journal of Technology Economics and Management*, 3(1).

Goedhart, C. (1973). *Outline of state financial science*. Bridge: [Publisher—add if known].

Gosal, J. S., Lopian, A. L. C. P., & Masloman, I. (2022). The effect of capital expenditure and goods and services expenditure on economic growth in Manado City in 2005–2021. *Journal of Scientific Periodical Efficiency*, 22(5), 85–96.

Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia No. 12 of 2019 concerning regional financial management; Government Regulation No. 24 of 2015 concerning government accounting standards; Government Regulation No. 58 of 2005 concerning regional financial management.

Inscription, D. (2022). The effect of investment, labor, and government expenditure on economic growth in South

Ghozali, I. (2018). *Application of multivariate analysis with IBM SPSS 25 program* (Issue 9). Semarang: Universitas Diponegoro.

Halim, A. (2022). *Public sector accounting theory, concepts, and applications* (2nd ed.). Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

Gunantara, P. C. (2019). The effect of local original revenue and general allocation fund on economic growth with capital expenditure as a moderating variable in Bali. *E-Journal of Accounting*, 7(3).

Halim, A. (2007). *Public sector accounting: Regional financial accounting*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

Halim, A. (2016). *Public sector financial management: The problem of government revenue and expenditure* (2nd ed.). Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

Hutabarat, P. (2013). The effect of employee expenditure, goods expenditure, capital expenditure, and population on the economic growth of districts/cities in North Sumatra [Doctoral dissertation, University of North Sumatra].

Iamsiraroj, S. (2016). The foreign direct investment–economic growth nexus. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 42, 116–133.

Ichwan, M. (1989). *State financial administration: An introduction to state budget management*. Yogyakarta: University of Indonesia.

Jambi University: Jambi.

Journal of Accounting Universitas Jember, 14(1), 19-38.

Juliandi, A., Irfan, I., & Manurung, S. (2014). *Business research methods: Concepts & applications*. Medan: UMSU Press.

- Kaontole, F. J., Rotinsulu, D. C., & Tumangkeng, S. Y. (2019). The influence of direct spending and indirect spending on economic growth in Manado City. *Journal of Scientific Periodical Efficiency*, 19(3).
- Keynes, J. M. (1936). The supply of gold. *The Economic Journal*, 46(183), 412–418.
- Kusumawati, L., & Wiksuana, I. G. B. (2018). The influence of regional income on economic growth in the Sarbagita area of Bali Province. *E-Journal of Management Udayana University*, 7(5), 2592.
- Kuznet, S. (2000). Economic growth and the contribution of agriculture. In C. K. & L. W. Witt (Eds.), *Agriculture in economic development* (Revised ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Lee, S. S., Chang, Y. S., & Rashid, N. M. N. (2017). Utilization of macrofungi by some indigenous communities for food and medicine in Peninsular Malaysia. In *Sustainable Forest Management and Poverty Alleviation: Roles of Traditional Forest-Related Knowledge* (IUFRO World Series Vol. 21, pp. 94–97).
- Leshoro, T. L. (2017). An empirical analysis of disaggregated government expenditure and economic growth in South Africa.
- Mamonto, S., Kalangi, J., & Tolosang, K. (2015). The effect of local taxes and regional levies on capital expenditure: Study on Bolaang Mongondow District for the 2004–2013 period. *Journal of Scientific Periodicals of Efficiency*, 15(1).
- Mamuane, N., Kalangi, J. B., & Tolosang, K. D. (2021). The effect of government spending, investment, and labor on economic growth in North Sulawesi. *Journal of Scientific Periodical Efficiency*, 21(2).
- Mangkoesebroto, G. (1994). *Indonesian public policy: Substance and urgency*. Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka.
- Mangkoesebroto, G. (2008). *Public economics*. Yogyakarta: BPFE.
- Mardiasmo. (2002). *Regional financial autonomy and management*. Yogyakarta: ANDI Publishers.
- Mankiw, G. (2011). *Introduction to macroeconomics* (4th ed.). Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Murshidi. (2018). *Government accounting in Indonesia*. Bandung: Refika Aditama.
- Nasution, A. Z. (2020). The effect of investment and government spending on economic growth and the impact on unemployment [Master's thesis, State Islamic University of North Sumatra].
- Ogbuagu, M. I., & Ekpenyong U., I. (2015). Estimating the impact of the components of public expenditure on economic growth in Nigeria (a bound testing approach). *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, 3(3), 1–8.
- Pangestu, E. C. (2018). The effect of goods and services expenditure, capital expenditure, employee expenditure, and investment on economic growth in South Kalimantan Province. *JIEP: Journal of Economics and Development Sciences*, 1(1), 33–42.
- Pangiuk, A. (2017). The effect of investment and government expenditure on the economic growth of Jambi Province (study 2012–2015). *ILTIZAM Journal of Shariah Economics Research*, 1(1), 1–20.
- Pegkas, P. (2015). The impact of FDI on economic growth in Eurozone countries. *The Journal of Economic Asymmetries*, 12(2), 124–132.
-

- Prasetyawan, D. T., Hanim, A., & Yuliati, L. (2017). Analysis of the effect of investment and labor on economic growth and its relationship to poverty in East Java Province. *Journal of Equilibrium*, 1(1), 45–50.
- Rahardjo, A. (2011). *Pengelolaan pendapatan dan anggaran daerah*. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu.
- Rabnawaz, A., & Sohail Jafar, R. (2015). Impact of public investment on economic growth. *Munich Personal RePEc*.
- Regulation of the Minister of Home Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia No. 13 of 2006 concerning guidelines for regional financial management.
- Rofii, A. M., & Ardyan, P. S. (2017). Analisis pengaruh inflasi, penanaman modal asing (PMA), dan tenaga kerja terhadap pertumbuhan ekonomi di Jawa Timur. *Jurnal Ekonomi & Bisnis*, 2(1), 303–316.
- Sáez, M. P., Álvarez-García, S., & Rodríguez, D. C. (2017). Government expenditure and economic growth in the European Union countries: New evidence. *Bulletin of Geography. Socio-Economic Series*, (36), 127–133.
- Samuelson, P. A., & Nordhaus, W. D. (2001). *Economics* (17th ed.). New York, NY: Irwin/McGraw-Hill.
- Shkodra, J., Krasniqi, A., & Ahmeti, N. (2022). The impact of government expenditure on economic growth in Southeast European countries. *Journal of Management Information & Decision Sciences*, 25.
- Sicily, M., & Harsono, H. (2021). Analysis of the effect of local original revenue, special allocation funds, and general allocation funds on economic growth in Malang City in 2010–2019. *Journal of Regional Economics Indonesia*, 2(1), 57–70.
- Sugiyanta. (2016). Analysis of capital expenditure and factors influencing it in district/city governments in Indonesia.
- Sukirno, S. (2013). *Macroeconomics: Introductory theory*. Jakarta: PT Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Sukirno, S. (2006). *Macroeconomic theory introduction* (3rd ed.). Jakarta: PT King Grafindo Persada.
- Sulawesi Regency & City. *Journal of Economic Sciences*, 6(3), 478–490.
- Sultan, & Jamzani. (2010). Analysis of regional income inequality in the central region and influencing factors for the period 2000–2004. *Economic Bulletin*, 8. Yogyakarta: Development University.
- Suminto. (2004). *State budget management in the state financial management system*. Jakarta: Directorate General of Budget, Ministry of Finance.
- Suparmoko, & Irawan. (2003). *Economics of development* (6th ed.). Yogyakarta: BPFE, UGM.
- Supartono, S., Ashar, K., & Affandi, M. (2011). Analysis of the influence of socioeconomic variables of urban communities on economic independence in terms of finance, energy, and food in Singosari District, Malang Regency. *Journal of Indonesian Applied Economics*, 5(1), 37–51.
- Syamsudin, S., Cahya, B. T., & Dewi, S. N. (2015). The effect of financial performance on economic growth, unemployment, and poverty. *Dayasaing Management Journal*, 17(1), 15–...
-

Tan, S. (2012). Economic planning and its implications in regional development [Unpublished thesis]. Faculty of Economics and Business, [University name missing].

Tandelilin, E. (2001). Beta on bullish and bear markets: An empirical study on the Jakarta Stock Exchange. *Journal of Indonesian Economy and Business (JIEB)*, 16(3).

Todaro, M. P., & Smith, S. C. (2006). *Economic development* (Vol. 1, 9th ed.). Jakarta: Erlangga.

Law No. 25 of 2005 concerning capital investment. (Specify issuing body, official publication, if available.)

Widjaya, I. R. (2005). Investment guidelines for establishing and running procedures in the framework of PMA and PMDN.

William, J., & Sanjaya, R. (2017). Factors affecting company performance in companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. *Journal of Business and Accounting*, 19(1A-2), 152–162.

Yani, A. (2004). *Financial relationship between central and local governments*. Jakarta: PT Raja Grafindo Persada.